

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,986	01/12/2001	Sally J. Bull	52355 USA9B:014	3145
759	90 11/21/2002			
Office of Intellectual Property			EXAMINER	
3M Innovative Property Counsel			AHMAD, NASSER	
P.O. Box 33427		·		
St. Paul, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	10
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. **09/759,986**

Applicant(s)

Bull et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Nasser Ahmad

Art Unit 1772



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM			
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
maiting	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th				
- If NO p	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
- Amy re	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the object of the control of the c				
earned Status	l patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1) 🔯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 9, 20				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 31-35</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-24 and 31-35	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ation Papers				
9) 🗔	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No			
	application from the International Bure				
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.			
14) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
_	☐ The translation of the foreign language provisiona				
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm		4) Tetanian Summar (RTO 412) Page No(a)			
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drewing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
_	3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4, 9 6) Other:				
₩		—			

Application/Control Number: 09/759,986 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

1. Attached to this Office Action is a copy of the IDS (Paper No. 4) that was inadvertently left out when the last Office Action was mailed. The PTO-1449 form has been initialed and dated.

- 2. Claims 1-24 and 31-35 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andriash in view of Bull for reasons of record in Paper No. 7, paragraph 2, mailed on May 10, 2002.
- 3. Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed on April 23, 2001 by Ms. Sally Bull is insufficient for reasons of record in Paper No. 7, paragraph 4.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed August 9, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Bull is directed to an overlaminate comprising a clear film having adhesive on only one side and not a scrim with a PSA on one side and a hot melt adhesive on the other side. This is not deemed to be persuasive because Bull teaches, in page 1, that the transparent over laminate can have hot melt adhesive and a scrim liner. The scrim would provide for the adhesive to be on both sides of the scrim because it is well known that scrim is porous. Since, the hot melt adhesive or PSA can be on both sides of the scrim and that either of said adhesive includes the other, the Bull reference provides for a double-sided adhesive laminate.

Contrary to applicants' allegation, the claims fails to recite that the two adhesives are different and cannot be read thereinto for the purpose of avoiding the applied prior art. Applicant should note that hot melt adhesive can be and includes pressure sensitive adhesive. Hence, the two adhesive are not necessarily different as alleged.

Application/Control Number: 09/759,986

Art Unit: 1772

Thus Bull clearly teaches adhesive/scrim/adhesive and that the adhesive can be as claimed. In response to the previously submitted declaration by Ms. Sally Bull, applicant has argued by showing various methods for serving liner as a scrim to a hot melt adhesive such as by casting hot melt adhesive and then fusing it to the liner. However, it is still unclear as to how is the securing "releasable" under said conditions.

Thus, in the absence of any evidence to the contrary, it remains the Examiner's position that the instant claimed invention is obvious over the prior art of record discussed above.

The nonstatutory rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 442 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 130(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/759,986 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 6. Claims 1-24 and 31-35 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13-40 of U.S. Patent No. 09/098,702. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant application and co-pending application '702 are directed to a graphic article having one vary vision property. However, application '702 recites an electrolunirescent film, which would be obviously included in the recited film in the instant application.
- 7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-24 and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The "scrim" in the specification defines it away from the standard definition of scrim, which is a porous sheet.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is (703) 308-4424. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. and on alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 09/759,986 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (703) 308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 305-7115 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

N. Ahmad/dh November 19, 2002 NASSER AHMAD PRIMARY EXAMINER